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How Much Should Students Be Heard?

JUST what is happening to the Creighton student body? P.S. Magazine Editor Robert Fell recorded an interview with Robert Hobbins, Des Moines, la., a senior in the College of Arts and Sciences presently serving as Student Board of Governors president, and Thomas Burke, vice president for student personnel. The interview makes it readily apparent that what is happening is considerably different from earlier days on the Hilltop. And there is little doubt both men feel what is happening is not only good but also necessary to produce outstanding graduates.

Included in the interview is an account of demonstrations held March 13-14 on the campus. Two things should be noted. First, the demonstrating students broke no University rules. Second, the Rev. Clement J. Schneider, S.J., acting president, has stated publicly that his decision to interrupt dormitory visiting hours was based upon outside pressures but in order to clarify procedures involving security and other areas of confusion surrounding the policy.

Mr. Fell: In the last two years Creighton University has taken several steps to assure a larger student voice in various areas of the University. In your opinion, has the University gone far enough?

Mr. Hobbins: I think the student perception of the goal in this area is to work toward tripartite governance of the University. That is when the administration, the faculty and the students all participate in the decision-making processes of the University—each have predominance in the areas of interest of special concern to them as an individual group.

We have made some progress toward tripartite governance in the last two years, notably with an increasing effort to place students in decision making positions, to incorporate students into the various all-university committees and to place students on departmental committees. The whole thrust of this has been to place students in a position where they can be effective. Now the problem becomes, with the students in such positions, to gain for them some degree of influence.

Mr. Fell: Have you had difficulty finding students to serve?

Mr. Hobbins: No. We have a lot of students that are ready, willing and able to serve. It has been difficult to find students who have a combination of time and the particular expertise or experience to qualify them on a certain committee. For instance, it may be difficult to find students who are in a good enough position academically to sit on the College of Arts and Sciences' Executive Committee or the various departmental committees. They must have not only the credentials but the time to serve. You have to find the students who have the time to devote to this. But you certainly have no lack of interest on the part of students in these positions.

Mr. Fell: What influence would you like to see the participating students exert?

Mr. Hobbins: I think any decision made at Creighton has to take into account the student reaction—the student perception of the issue involved. It doesn't seem to me that there are very many decisions at Creighton that don't affect the student since he certainly lives the daily life of the University. I think you have to have that particular point of view just as you have to have a faculty and an administrative point of view in any decision.
So it seems to me that students should comprise one third of the input that is made in any decision.

Mr. Fell: Mr. Burke, what do you see the student gaining by this participation?

Mr. Burke: Immediately the University receives the contribution the student has to make on a particular situation that he is involved in and is passing judgment on. Secondly, more obviously, it is tied to the total objective of the University: to graduate sophisticated, articulate decision makers. Providing students with opportunities while they are students is probably the best way for him to develop those attributes.

Mr. Fell: In general, just how much say do you think a student should have in determining his life style while at Creighton?

Mr. Hobbins: This raises the question of student participation in decisions in the area of student life policy. When we describe the larger framework of tripartite governance of the University with input from all three—faculty, students and administrators — there are specific areas of influence for each group. There are areas in the University where one group has a predominant interest. This should be reflected in some larger significance, perhaps even larger membership, on committees granted to them. Certainly the hiring and firing of faculty members—tenure, rank, etc.—are of a special interest to faculty. Perhaps they, in this area, should have greater representation than either administration or student. The area of student life policy is such an area for

Representatives of student government led demonstrations to assure University rules were not broken. Armbands identified representatives.

students. Here is a specific area of influence where students are deeply, personally involved. This should be reflected in the weight that is given their contribution in decisions.

We have a model of this approach in the AllUniversity Student Life Policy Committee. The students constitute one-half of the membership of that committee and the rest is made up of various alumni, faculty, administration and a member of the Parents Council.

Mr. Fell: Mr. Burke, I think this concept which is being practiced at Creighton is considerably different from what alumni recall from their own days at the University. Why the change?

Mr. Burke: I think the change is in keeping with what the alumni encounter in their businesses or their private practices. They know that the concept of participatory democracy, even in industry, is certainly more applicable. The boss no longer says, without gaining some consensus, how things are going to be. This is somewhat reflective of what the University environment is. I think the philosophy of education has changed. We find that people have to be involved in a significant way in the total decision-making process. In order to have them involved I think you have to have them in an active role where they can actually vote on policy matters. I think the University is now the process of experi-
encing requests from the faculty to have greater participation. This is a natural sequence because in many ways, we know historically, students have brought about the major changes in the institutions of higher learning. They always seem to be ahead of the other components of the institution—administration and faculty.

The whole concept relative to administration is changing. It is no longer one of passing out edicts and enforcing regulations. The concept has changed to one of accountability. Really, the administrators serve for the welfare of, and you might say at the will of, the faculty and students.

**Mr. Fell:** A rather specific case in point at the University during the spring semester is the open dormitory policy. Could you, Dean Burke, give us a background on what you hoped to accomplish?

**Mr. Burke:** The whole notion of visiting hours or open dorms refers to the need on the part of students to have a place where they can have at least some relative degree of privacy. The University and most universities have large residence halls that don't lend themselves to a real intimate situation, particularly when it comes to a situation between man and woman. The request came to us from the students for us to make some policy allowances wherein students could visit with each other in their rooms.

The whole concept of visiting is not foreign. Historically universities didn't build residence halls. The needs of the students in this private matter were easily handled because they made their own living arrangements and the problem didn't present itself.

You have to make allowances for the notion of privacy. We have high rise residence halls, so within those we have to provide some way in which students can get to know each other. I think the thing to face right out is that students don't perceive this as evaluating their moral behavior. They perceive simply that their bedroom is more than a place to sleep—it is their study room, lounge, den—its everything. And it's all they've got. It's 160 square feet wherein two students have to find a life style that somewhat represents society at large. This society happens to be comprised of two sexes, so within this framework somehow we have to make allowances for men and women to be together in a private situation.

**Mr. Fell:** Mr. Hobbins, after three weeks of experimenting with an open dorm policy on Creighton's campus, it was halted and you reacted. Can you describe the reaction and your view of open dorms?

**Mr. Hobbins:** The three-week conducting of visiting hours in the dormitories did not reflect a major change in policy; was not, in fact, new policy but was based on an interpretation of present policy allowing such a program.

For three weeks this did go on and met with no little degree of success. I think the students were happy with it; I think the administration was relatively pleased with the way that it was conducted. We had no incidents, no misconduct as far as I know. After three weeks the interpretation of policy which allowed this was suspended. At that time there was a great deal of disaffection on the part of the students—a lot of them were angered. This was perhaps enhanced by the fact that the authorization was suspended at 4 p.m. on a Friday afternoon where visiting hours were scheduled that evening. We had an atmosphere where students were angered—had been in no way prepared for the abrupt suspension, had been informed of no trouble—were really just taken by surprise.

There were discussions of several things that could be done. Many wanted to take more strenuous action than it seemed to us in student government was justified. There was a meeting held in Brandeis Student Center and several of us in student government said we would like to take the issue through channels and not conduct any activity outside student government and administration channels. They agreed to support us in that process. We met as the Student Board of Governors on Sunday evening and resolved to present to the administration a petition that reflected our discontent. We requested that the administration reconsider and make known their policy on visiting hours in the dorms as of the following Friday.

During the week the students worked in conjunction with the Student Life Policy Committee to effect a study of this, to try to bring as many elements as possible into a consideration of visitation hours—just what we mean by it, why we thought it was important, why we wanted to conduct these, what educational values were involved. Meetings of various committees and subcommittees associated with student life policy took place in an effort to diffuse this information and bring about some real consideration.

The following Thursday the President's Advisory Committee met and Father (Clement J.) Schneider received the advice of the various members of the committee. Friday being the day that we had asked for his decision, Father Schneider communicated to me in writing that at that time he would have to respond "no". He said there would be no visitation hours in the dormitories but he was willing to consider the matter further. Once again we were faced in student government with some reaction on the
part of many of the students who felt they had exercised their rights through the proper channels.

It should be noted that the All-University Committee on Student Life Policy did ratify the dormitory visitation hours policy unanimously and this for the second time with one abstention. So we had pursued this particular question through channels. We had carried it to all of the three elements of tripartite governance and gained its approval internally to the University. And faced with that, we were somewhat at a loss to explain this negative decision.

It was becoming increasingly evident that the only reason for that negative decision was that many outside pressures were being exerted on the acting president to act in this way, that there was some concern over the Centennial Fund Drive; there was some concern over the loss of donors, over their concern on this issue. There was some great concern on the part of alumni for a change at Creighton University that they were not prepared to accept—that they certainly did not understand. Faced with that, the issue from a student point of view became one of just where our decisions at Creighton University, especially in the area of student life, were to be made. Were they to be made in the University by the three elements of governance as we had attempted to do, or were they going to be made by outside elements placing pressure on the basis of little or no information? This became the paramount issue. In the face of this negative announcement, we were faced with real student disappointment, with real student anger at this and I was informed by several groups that unless the Student Board took some decisive action, provided some outlet, there was great fear there was some chance there would be the destruction of property, perhaps the occupation of administrative offices, or of dormitories.

Faced with this alternative the Student Board of Governors chose to recapture the initiative in whatever student protest took place and attempt to channel it in a somewhat responsible and effective manner. We hoped to call public attention to our stand on this issue and to attempt to exert internal pressure to balance what we saw as external pressure, in a number of ways. We adopted a policy we referred to as "active non-cooperation". This involved several tactics—the full use of all electrical outlets in the dormitories to create a somewhat larger electricity bill than normal, the full use of water outlets too create a somewhat larger water bill than usual—to demonstrate that we were somewhat involved in the finances of the University, that were were cognizant of financial issues, that we felt students had a real place here, that students rights to determine their own life styles had been violated in the face of these outside pressures, and we felt that this was unjust. These tactics were adopted, as I said, first of all to call attention to our stand and to reflect our dissatisfaction and secondly to prevent any resort by students or groups of students to tactics which we felt were certainly less desirable than these. As I outlined before, there was the threat of some

The Decision...

On April 3, after the above article was recorded, Father Schneider announced provisions to schedule dormitory visitation hours on a carefully controlled basis.

"I am now satisfied that adequate safeguards for the protection of student and University interests and security are built into the new provisions of University policy regarding dormitory visitation," he said.

The new provisions include:

—Individual residence halls may schedule visitation hours within the following framework:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Friday</td>
<td>7 p.m. to 11:30 p.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturday</td>
<td>7 p.m. to 11:30 p.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunday</td>
<td>1 p.m. to 6 p.m.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

—Each residence hall wing (made up of about 40 students) can participate by majority vote and special "No Visiting" wings will be established for those who do not want to take part.

—All rooms where guests are present must be unlocked and are subject to inspection at all times. Visitors must present identification upon request.

—Only one entrance to the women's residence hall will be open and a policeman will be on duty in the women's dormitory area during visiting hours.

—All residence hall staff members will be on duty and student residence hall council members will be on duty.

—Guests are required to page the host resident from the main desk of the residence hall and must be accompanied by the host resident at all times. The host bears responsibility for the conduct of his guests.

A committee made up of Father Schneider, Mr. Burke and three students, including Mr. Hobbins, formulated the new rules.
violence. There was the threat of some violations of University regulations. We hoped by providing an outlet for anger and frustration that other danger would be somewhat modified.

Mr. Fell: Do you think your actions to forestall more radical activities were effective?

Mr. Hobbins: I certainly think they were. I think the record shows for those two days that there was little or no deliberate destruction of property. While there was some accidental water damage done in the dormitory, it was certainly minimal and the Student Board of Governors has offered to pay for that damage and to replace anything that was damaged. There were no instances of any disruption of University regulations or the breaking of civil law or the occupation of offices. We made every effort to see that nothing like this took place either by the entire group or by individual students. We adopted a tactic which was used most effectively by the National Moratorium Committee in their march on Washington. We chose a group of about 30 people to act as monitors. We made of them visible authority figures with distinctive arm bands so that they could be identified. Before every demonstration, rally and meeting, these people were taken aside and we again explained to them what the purpose of these meetings was and that it was of paramount importance that no destruction, violence or disruption of University regulations take place. These people then acted in and with the crowds throughout these events to preserve order. I think our tactics were effective in avoiding what were the possibly worse alternatives.

Mr. Fell: At the time of this interview, Mr. Burke, where does the issue stand?

Mr. Burke: We are in the process of re-evaluation at the present moment, and I think we will be able to arrive at a solution that will be satisfactory to the needs of the students and to develop an educational policy that will allow a meaningful exchange in regard to intervisitation.

Mr. Fell: The University now has four on-campus dormitories, one of which houses around 500 students, another about 700 students. Mr. Hobbins, you once lived in one of those dormitories. How livable are they?

Mr. Hobbins: Well, I think this becomes a function of time. The dormitories lend themselves more than anything else to a process of mass socialization. Students live in dormitory wings that are a function of the construction of the building. They involve about 40 people, living in pretty compact quarters, that share bathroom facilities and kind of form a community in themselves.

During freshman year I think the beneficial effects of this outweigh the negative. This mass socialization is perhaps good for the average college freshman. He meets a tremendous number of people all at once. He has a manageable-sized community he can identify with as he makes the transition from home and high school to away and college. I think it is effective during that time especially to give him a sense of identification, to expose him to a large number of people. It really helps him make the adaptation to college and university life. During sophomore year he becomes attached in larger circles. Other pursuits than those of the dormitory wing gain his attention, but I think still it has some effect as part of a learning environment. Certainly the Student Life office here at Creighton makes every attempt to exploit the advantages of the dormitory wings so they become scenes of some kind of cultural, intellectual and social activity as well as just the natural activity of living a day-to-day life. I think sophomore year these become most effective when people have an opportunity to broaden interests, to participate in some of the social, intellectual and religious activities then take place on a dormitory basis.

By the upper class years, I think the benefits of mass socialization are beginning to wear off and, as Mr. Burke can verify, the junior and senior years are when we begin to have trouble interesting people in staying on campus. By that time people are narrowing their interests. They are finding specific fields that are of concern to them. They are setting up an agenda of priorities in terms of friendships, social commitments, their intellectual pursuits. The constant exposure to large numbers of other people begins to become a problem at this time and one looks for a more private, more narrow way of life that suits the individual. Perhaps the territorial imperative becomes stronger in people about that time—the real need to have a place of your own and to live your own life. I think the dormitory has a lot less to offer the junior and senior and a hard look is going to have to be taken at on-campus living in terms of the kind of structure used, the kind of programming used in order to keep juniors and seniors on campus because of the kind of facilities that we have now.

Mr. Fell: Mr. Burke, what problems do you face in being charged with the responsibility for these high rise residence halls?

Mr. Burke: Our attempt has been to make them a living-learning situation as much as possible and I think the potential there is just touched. We can certainly see in the future more extensive involvement of the academic enterprise in the residence halls. The undergraduate evaluation committee has
Among numerous recommendations that will enhance the appeal of our residence halls, particularly to the upper classmen. I think Bob's points are certainly well taken, that freshmen and sophomores that live in residence halls gain an invaluable experience in terms of their whole appreciation of the University. We are presently in the process of evaluating some physical structures to change the configuration of our dorms so that we may hopefully go to a suite design for upper classmen. They will be apartments without kitchens. They will have more possibilities for privacy and they will approximate our residence halls more closely with the off-campus dwellings available. The advantage will still be with us to use our residence halls and support them economically but, more importantly, it will still afford us with the opportunity to use the residence halls as an educational tool for our upper classmen. The whole academic framework for the University is changing rapidly. There are going to be more opportunities for independent studies, research, and for small seminar approaches. The whole learning experience is going to be incorporated more easily in a residence hall suited to the whole academic enterprise.

Mr. Fell: The Student Board of Governors has undertaken a broader scope of activity than in prior years. Why are you doing this?

Mr. Hobbins: This change of interest is somewhat reflective of what is happening with students across the country. There is a much greater concern on the part of students for their own academic well being, for the kind of education they are receiving: whether it is relevant to them, of the quality they would expect and like to have. This is something that perhaps categorizes the late 60s more than the 50s. I think this change at Creighton is reflective of national trends. I think part of it springs from a restructuring of the student government that took place a number of years ago. The committee system was overhauled to provide committees on academic affairs, community and social problems, and student life policy. The whole orientation of student government has changed. We have attempted to make it more effective by structural changes. I think what we hope to do is to take student government out of the age of Mickey Mouse in the 1950s where the primary concerns were social—creation of various dances or concerts or social outlets for students—and focus on the larger issues that we face: the quality of our education, the quality of national life. We hope to become effective in the arts of government, of human relations, of administration—to gain experience and to become effective at this level so that we can become, as Mr. Burke outlined before, even more effective citizens in the future. This is a very educational process. I think every citizen that becomes committed to a great degree in student government learns to put to use some of the tools that he develops in the classroom. He is able to use his psychology, his political science, his understanding of sociology in day-to-day situations.

What we hope to do by way of complementing our self improvement is to improve the University, too. I think students have a real contribution to make to any university. We have a tremendous degree of commitment to Creighton among students. I think that sometimes this is misinterpreted by those from the outside who interpret our constructive criticism, and our concern for change, development and improvement as a negative force, as reflecting disapproval or disloyalty. That certainly is not the case. I think with every element of the University—parent, alumni, benefactor, faculty or administrator—we have a common end to make Creighton University the best university it possibly can be. And we see as fundamental to that, student involvement in the becoming of Creighton University. We have something to say about what Creighton should be because we see ourselves as Creighton's reason for being.

Mr. Fell: Do these desires coincide with the needs of the student life area of the University, Mr. Burke?

Mr. Burke: Very much so. I think when we talk about student government we have to realize because of Creighton's size and the manageability of the institution which are very positive factors in our whole development, student government at Creighton has remained, contrary to what has happened around the country, a very significant factor in the operation of the University. Nationally the trend has been that central student government has disintegrated. The result is dissident groups that are almost impossible to deal with from an administrative standpoint. At Creighton we have retained a very strong student government, and it has allowed us to handle change more reasonably, more effectively. This is evidenced, I think, by the fact that we really haven't had any upsets or major demonstrations in the University and we have not had radical groups

"We have a tremendous degree of commitment among students. I think that sometimes this is misinterpreted . . . as a negative force."
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take over. We know that student government is going to be concerned about the improvement of the University and Bob has pointed out that in all instances students have a very high regard for the institution, in fact I appraise it as an extreme loyalty. Students want the University to be a better place. Not every internal or external constituency agrees the University should be changing its style educationally and socially—but this is necessary in order for us to be a forerunner among academic institutions. No one would deny that there have been significant changes; the University is just representative of the changes in society at large. In order to be a good University, you have to change not in reaction to what society is doing but in anticipation of it. The University has to serve as a leader to society, it has to show the way intellectually, socially and morally—how we really should be developing. And this is the responsibility of Creighton as a private institution. It is vital for us to be pacesetters and not reactors.

Mr. Fell: This brings up a broader question. Dr. Robert Hutchins has recently written that the nation's universities may be too committed to their supporters to ever be real centers for the advancement of knowledge. What's your opinion?

Mr. Hobbins: I think Dr. Hutchins' point has to be well taken. We have seen here that there seems to be a fundamental conflict between the public conception of a university and the university's conception of itself. It seems that the former is winning in a conflict with the latter. That has grave implications for the future role of the university in society. As Mr. Burke said, the university by its very nature should be a leader, should be an innovator, should help form public opinion rather than react to public opinion. Yet when you put in a condition where outside opinion means so much to a university in terms of enrollment, financial support and acceptance in the community, it becomes evident that this balance has somewhat shifted. It is very difficult to be an innovator, a leader, a barometer of social change. When the static society around you—the stable society, the status quo—has so much to say about your existence, I think the problem, as he outlined, is becoming grave. Something has to be done to insure educational freedom—more or less insulate universities from this kind of thing so that they are free to chart their own course regardless of outside consequences. I don't think that's the case now.

Mr. Fell: What's your view, Mr. Burke?

Mr. Burke: I think the responsibility of the university, because we do need the financial support of the public, is to somewhat balance the opinions of the people internal to the university with those external. I think the real key to this whole situation is probably summarized in your attempt through this article to relate to Creighton University's public, certainly through the alumni, just what the climate is today on a university campus. Dr. Hutchins' point on the free exchange of ideas is somewhat analogous to our past perception in the evaluation of the use of various texts. At one time it was believed that real learning took place through very structured, very "safe" texts. But we found that a good student of literature had to read all kinds of literature. A good historian had to read all kinds of history books. He just couldn't get his ideas from one singular vein of thought. I think the whole concept of academic freedom is one in which inquiry can take place without necessarily endorsing the person presenting a particular opinion which may be totally obnoxious to the general public. I, strangely enough, find those people classified as obnoxious are generally classified in the same way by students, so they are not really in any danger of being subverted or having their thoughts perverted. The whole idea of freedom of ideas is based upon the fact that you are dealing with mature, sophisticated people in a university environment who are able to distinguish and integrate ideas that are significant regardless of who presents them.

But the real problem from an administration standpoint is that the University in being a leader sometimes tends to outpace the general public. This is where the publications media of the university is vitally important. I hope that through articles we can bring about a greater understanding and appreciation by all these segments. They are all important because we have to have financial support; any institution is dependent on people's loyalty and their benefactions. So it is a difficult problem, but with proper analysis, proper discussion and proper information we can bring about a situation that's realistically balanced.
Students Fight City Hall

A dozen Creighton students are lending their talents and youthful energies to Omaha city government while earning college credit.

By Dr. Richard Shugrue
Associate Professor of Political Science

FOR most Creightonians, Christmas vacation was a time to make some extra money, finish term papers or relax in anticipation of the final exams just a few weeks away.

But not for John Green, Bill Frenzer and Dan McIlhon. They were helping put together an innovation in Creighton's curriculum which would provide on-the-job training in city government for CU students.

When Hilltop alum Eugene Leahy, JD '60, was running for mayor of Omaha in the spring of 1969, he had talked about establishing a "living laboratory" which would draw on the talents of young men and women throughout the community to aid in the solving of the metropolitan area's tougher problems.

Christmas vacation was the time to implement that idea. So the three Creighton undergrads called a meeting which was attended by the Mayor, his administrative assistant James Murphy, Dr. John Angus, chairman of the Sociology Department, and this writer. The mayor was eager to get the program of internships off the ground. He wanted all the colleges in the Omaha community to participate, but was delighted with the prospect of having a pilot project at Creighton.

Mayor Leahy designated an aide, Newell Johnson, as coordinator of the intern program. Because preregistration had taken place before the Christmas holiday, the trick was to squeeze the intern program into an already existing framework within the Political Science and Sociology curricula. It could be done, by way of the undergraduate honors course which both departments offer each semester. The course often takes the form of individual readings in an area of interest to the student. But it can take the form of the preparation of an undergraduate thesis or a small seminar focusing on a critical governmental or social problem.

With the approval of the dean of the College of Arts and Sciences, the Rev. T. K. McKenney, S.J., the city internship program was ready to begin operation with second semester registration.

During the Christmas vacation meeting on the Hilltop campus, Omaha's chief executive had talked of the possibility of using interns on a new program of rehabilitation for paroled convicts. He also felt that the interns could work in a program for tutoring the disadvantaged, many of whom are located literally on Creighton's doorstep. But for the spring semester, there were many jobs which needed to be done right in City Hall for the variety of departments under the Mayor's jurisdiction.

So, a dozen Creighton students signed up for the course in City Internship. They fanned...
out over the city hall after having appointments with department heads arranged by Mr. Johnson of the Mayor’s staff.

The Mayor indicated to his staff that there were conditions for the departments being assigned interns. One of them was that the students were not to be given routine office work. Their jobs were to be challenging and significant.

Departments chosen for internships included the Mayor’s office itself, Parks and Recreation, Human Relations, Law and City Planning. The Creighton students were assigned to the agencies depending on the need existing and the importance of tasks to be undertaken.

Louise Meng, a senior in Political Science, is interning with the Omaha Human Relations Department. That agency has been organizing interracial home visitations and Miss Meng had the initial responsibility of grouping the families for the visits and making sure they visited the homes designated.

Working under the supervision of Mr. Jack West, Miss Meng reviewed reports from the host families and followed up by arranging other meetings.

Another project she undertook was a survey of minority employees in city government.

Has the internship been valuable to Miss Meng? “Definitely. I’m learning about the various factions which affect the department’s work,” she stated. These include the Mayor’s office, the city council, the black community and the white community within the city. “In this respect, some consider the department the poor step-child of city government,” Miss Meng reported.

But there are so many projects to be undertaken that she doesn’t have time to be sidetracked by criticism. Her other assignments
have included planning a conference on student unrest, attending the Constitutional Revision Commission meeting at the state capitol in Lincoln and attending training sessions for members of the Human Relations Board at the North Side Y.

Overall, Miss Meng believes her experience is "very good." She indicated that whenever she arrives at the office (and she puts in about 16 hours per week) "there's always something interesting to do."

Mr. West reciprocated the compliments indicating that the department "could use three more like her."

John Green, a junior from Omaha, is assigned to the Mayor's office. Working under one of the Mayor's assistants, Mr. Lou Olsen, Green has finished two projects. "The first was a study on where federal money is going in Omaha. And this included not just the city, but also hospitals, schools, colleges and other governmental subdivisions. The job had never been done before," he indicated.

The other project had been working closely with the Mayor's staff on the urban renewal campaign in Omaha's metropolitan center. "Here I have prepared a list of all civic organizations in the city which would be interested in information on urban renewal," Green said.

Green is pleased with the city intern program. The office allows him to select the hours which are most convenient for him "and there's always plenty to do in the Mayor's office," he said.

Another undergrad assigned to the Mayor's office is Paul Strawhecker. Since late March, Strawhecker has been working in the Urban Renewal Office at the City Hall Annex. His newest assignment will be mostly in the field of information. "We expect a large number of people to be calling in asking for information on urban renewal. It's my job to collect the information and provide answers to the people with questions."
Strawhecker is working every morning on his intern project. He says he is “enjoying it and learning a lot about city government. The bureaucracy is a lot more informal than I thought it would be.”

His supervisor, Mr. R. R. Douglas, indicated that Paul’s help in the Urban Renewal education program “is very important to us. Whenever there is an information meeting, Paul gathers the most common questions we’re likely to face and provides us with answers.”

Another Omahan involved in the intern program is Yano Mangiamelli, a senior in political science, who is assigned to the Parks and Recreation Department. Among his projects has been the study of locations of recreational facilities in order to determine where Omaha may need new facilities.

Mangiamelli has provided his boss, Mr. Jerry Parks, with recommendations for the improvement of Omaha’s program. He has also sat in on conferences with architects concerning the development of an indoor hockey rink at Benson Park. “Before then, I wasn’t aware of the intricacies involved in developing the architect’s plan in the pre-bid stages,” Yano said. “They even get as detailed as discussing the color schemes for the facility.”

Patricia Zieg is one of five Creighton students working with the Omaha City Planning Department. The others include Michael Conlan, Larita Hotchklin, Chuck Klassen and Mary Lynn Coyle.

Their major task has been the development of an attitude survey for the entire city of Omaha. In preparation for designing the questionnaire, the students had to investigate what other communities have done. This meant visiting with officials of the Department of Economic Development of Nebraska in Lincoln and
talking with community development departments of major public utilities in the Omaha area, such as Northern Natural Gas Company.

Miss Zieg indicated that the work has been "mostly out of the office. They gave us a job and said 'come back when you have it finished.'"

There are bugs to be ironed out in the new intern program. Some of the departments were not prepared for the students and just did not have space for them to work. Others could use a great deal more assistance than can be provided this semester.

Yano Mangiamelli summed up the shortcomings of the new venture when he said, "Initially, the program will have some rough spots. There will have to be organized planning as to what activities can be engaged in."

But the dozen Creighton students working for Omaha are learning about city government from the inside. Newell Johnson made it quite clear that he is pleased with the program and Omaha wants it expanded. Mayor Leahy has talked about the possibility of expanding the program during the summer months so that interns may become full-time vacation employees of the city.

The cost to the taxpayers of Omaha for the intern program? Nothing. Every student hour spent on the job is volunteered. It is an integral part of the curriculum and the student is
awarded credit hours depending on how much time he spends working for Omaha and the complexity of the job he is assigned to do.

The three young men who instituted the program hope that some day it will include on the job experience with Douglas County. Already, the Political Science Department has explored the possibility of creating an intern program in state government, especially during the summer months. On a project of that scope, there is a possibility of federal funding.

Creighton could have waited to implement the intern program until several semesters of details were ironed out. But it felt a need to provide manpower assistance to the city which has been its home for 92 years. Limiting the program's size in the first semester of its operation provided the necessary controls to insure academic excellence. Reports from supervisors, feedback from students and on-the-scene inspection provides valuable information for evaluating the successes of the new program.

It looks like the city intern program will grow and provide a major practical experience in government for young men and women interested in learning about Democracy in action.
In early December, the Rev. Bernard Hasbrouck, S.J., suffered a near fatal stroke. He was delivering notices of a sale to support the Creighton Naiads synchronized swim team when he was stricken.

Why should an associate professor of Mathematics care about synchronized swimming? For the past two years Father Hasbrouck has been coach of the team, made up mostly of 15 daughters of faculty and administrators, ranging from 9 to 16 years old. And he loves the post.

For several days after suffering the stroke, doctors expressed doubt as to whether he would survive; later they were uncertain if he would regain use of his left side.

But within two months he was at poolside again, this time in a wheelchair. He was released from St. Joseph hospital
each weekend long enough to conduct the Sunday afternoon practices. By late March the hospital had discharged the 55-year-old Jesuit. He still relied on a wheelchair, but could walk with the assistance of a special device. "I see it as God's will to be crippled," he said. "But how much depends on me."

Becoming a synchronized swimming coach was not a natural step for Father Hasbrouck. He swims, but never competed in the sport. He boxed in college at Dubuque, Ia., and was moderator of the ski club at Regis High School, Denver. Fishing trips took Father Hasbrouck as close to the water as anything after coming to Creighton in 1954.

Father Hasbrouck was director of Deglman Hall and in 1961 was appointed faculty supervisor of athletics. It was the latter appointment that led him to meet two coeds who were instructing children in synchronized swimming.

The rapid turnover of students quickly showed Father Hasbrouck only he could provide the continuity needed to assure a strong program. He wasn't totally unprepared. He had studied rule books, attended matches, talked to all the coaches and judges he could and had even tried writing a few routines.

His ability as a coach became readily apparent and last summer he was invited to coach a class in synchronized swimming at suburban Ralston, Neb.—an unusual role for a Jesuit priest. Since fall, 1968, he has been chairman of the Midwest AAU Synchronized Swimming Committee.

Meanwhile, Father Hasbrouck coaches himself in the use of his left side. By fall he expects to be teaching mathematics again.
Omaha Phase Of $75 Million Centennial Thrust Drive Launched

ALL alumni areas of Creighton University’s Centennial Thrust in the Omaha region have been launched. The Thrust is a twelve-step, $75 million fund drive extending over the next five years.

Initial steps of the drive center on the Omaha Metropolitan area. Leo A. Daly, ARTS ’39, president of the Leo A. Daly Company, is the national chairman of Centennial Thrust. The Omaha phase of the drive is headed by Bruce G. Schwartz, vice president of Northwestern Bell Telephone Company. He is assisted by an advisory committee headed by the Rev. Carl M. Reiner, S.J., president of the Creighton Development Foundation, and including Peter Kiewit, president of Peter Kiewit Sons Company; Morris F. Miller, chairman, Omaha National Bank; V. J. Skutt, JD ’23, chairman of the boards and chief executive officer of Mutual of Omaha Insurance Company and United Benefit Life Insurance Company; and Willis A. Strauss, president and chairman of the board of Northern Natural Gas Company.

Chairman of the Omaha area phase of the Centennial Thrust program among alumni is Thomas J. Belford, AB ’49, Omaha and Council Bluffs mortician. He is assisted by the following school chairmen: Charles Heider, BSC ’49, colleges and friends; David Hoover, DDS ’59, and Philip J. Maschka, DDS ’53, dentistry; Thomas R. Burke, JD ’51, law; William W. Jurgensen, MD ’48, medicine, and Luke Coniglio, BSPH ’60, pharmacy.

Mr. Belford has been charged with raising $1,200,000 of the total Omaha drive goal of $17,500,000. Other funds are to be raised from area businesses, friends and community leaders.

Two early areas of the drive have reported outstanding success. A drive among Creighton administrators, faculty and staff, headed by Dr. Ross C. Horning, Jr., professor of history, resulted in gifts pledged in excess of $205,000. More than 98 percent of Creighton’s employees participated in the drive.

A drive among employees of Creighton Memorial St. Joseph Hospital, under the leadership of G. E. Sawall, saw a goal of $150,000 exceeded by nearly $75,000.

Solicitation of Omaha area alumni is to be completed by June.

Primary objectives of the program are to continue to improve the quality of the instructional program, to increase enrollment to 5,000 by 1978, and to render increased direct community service in health care, continuing education and social services.
V. J. SKUTT, JD, Omaha, has been named Omaha-Iowa chairman of the National 4-H Center expansion campaign.

FRANK B. FOGARTY, AB, Omaha, vice president of the Meredith Corporation, owner of WOW-TV and WOW radio, and general manager of its broadcasting division has retired. He remains a consultant with the Meredith Corporation.

FRANK E. PELLEGRIN, BSC, and Mrs. Pellegrin were appointed a Knight and Lady of the Equestrian Order of the Holy Sepulchre of Jerusalem by Terence Cardinal Cooke of New York. The Pellegrins reside in New York City.

DEAN P. SULLIVAN, DDS, Billings, Mont., has been appointed to the Montana State Board of Dental Examination by Governor Forrest H. Anderson.

ROBERT B. BUNSOLD, BSC, Los Angeles, Cal., retired from his position as investment manager in the Prudential Insurance Company's home office real estate investment and treasury department in Chicago after 35 years of service.

Dr. JOHN W. REBUCK, AB, Birmingham, Mich., served as physician to the American astronauts and was a member of the Lunar Receiving Laboratory Medical Consultant Panel.

LEONARD S. JAGODA, MD, has been appointed full time anesthesiologist at Wing Memorial Hospital in Palmer, Mass.

WILLIAM BURKE, PHB, Fremont, Neb., has retired from the Fremont Police Department after 20 years of service.

HENRY A. FITZGIBBON, BSC, New York City, N.Y., has been named Director of Security for the National Baseball Commission.

HUGH V. O'CONNELL, MD, Bakersfield, Cal., has been installed as president of the Kern County Medical Society.

VINCENT G. LAMB, AB, San Lorenzo, Cal., has been appointed by Wholesale Tours International, Incorporated, as its consultant for California on Student and Education travel.

RICHARD D. BIGLIN, AB, Aurora, Colo., director of public relations for the American Sheep Producers Council, is directing a nationwide program to bring new technology to the shepherds of America.

ARNOLD LEMPKA, MA, Omaha, was installed as president of the Omaha-Douglas County Medical Society.

JOHN H. MAULICK, AB, Lincoln, Neb., has joined the McCormick-Armstrong Company, Incorporated, of Wichita, Kan., as assistant manager of the company's printing operation.

MILDRED HICKEY SHELLY, MD, Cleveland Heights, Ohio, has been named medical director of the Mental Health Center at Marymount Hospital in Garfield Heights, Ohio.

PATRICK J. MORROW, JD, Onawa, Iowa, is completing his second term as State Deputy of the Knights of Columbus of Iowa.

ROBERT T. REILLY, ARTS, Omaha, has been cited in the Directory of British and American Writers published by the St. James Press. He is the author of several books on Ireland and a vice president and partner in the advertising and public relations firm of Holland Dreves Reilly, Incorporated.

EUGENE M. O'NEILL, ARTS, South Sioux City, Neb., was elected president of the Sioux City Grain Exchange by the Board of Directors.

RICHARD Q. CROTTY, MD, Omaha, has been elected president of the Omaha-Midwest-Clinical Society.

Rev. MARTIN BOLER, MD, Pine City, N.Y., has been elected Prior of the Mount Saviour Monastery.

JOELLA COHEN, BS, has opened the Crest Theater in Council Bluffs, Iowa. She also has theaters in Kansas City, Mo., and South Sioux City, Neb.

NORMAN D. GROSS, MD, West Hartford, Conn., has opened a medical office in Windsor Locks, Conn.

JAMES D. BENSON, BSC, has been named to the position of dean of the College of Business Administration at Northern Arizona University. He had been at the University of Iowa since 1962.

JOHN J. MINGENBACK, DDS, Great Bend, Kan., has been named president of the Kansas State Dental Association.

JOSEPH P. STROESSER, COM, has been elected president of the Omaha chapter of the National Association of Independent Fee Appraisers. He is assistant manager of the Papio Creek Watershed Board.

FRANK C. GOODELL, MA, Omaha, has been named director of program development for the Greater Omaha Action Committee.

RICHARD ZAPROWSKI, BSC, Omaha, has assumed the position of manager of Small Group Administration with Mutual of Omaha.

THOMAS R. BURKE, JD, Omaha, was named the Omaha Business Men's Association's Man of the Year for 1969. He is a partner in the Omaha law firm of Kennedy, Holland, DeLacy, and Svoboda.
LEO J. WILWERDING, ARTS, Omaha, has been promoted to superintendent of the water distribution division of the Metropolitan Utilities District.

MELVIN ENGLER, JD, was elected a United of Omaha and Mutual of Omaha group vice president. He has been with Mutual since 1952.

JOHN J. LOBDELL, BSC, Salem, Ore., has been named administrator of the policy planning division of the Executive Department of the State of Oregon.

HENRY F. PEDERSEN, JD, Omaha, a Senator in the Nebraska Legislature, was named general fund raising chairman for 1970 of the Douglas-Sarpy County Chapter of the American Heart Association.

JOSEPH ROBERT BOHACEK, DDS, Memphis, Tenn., has been promoted to the rank of captain in the United States Navy.

JAMES F. KERWIN, BSC, Carroll, Iowa, has been promoted to cashier and member of the Board of Directors of the Commercial Savings Bank in Carroll. He has been associated with the bank for 14 years.

HARRY S. JENKINS, MD, Omaha, has been appointed to a three-year term on the City-County Health Board.

DONALD J. HAMILTON, JD, Omaha, has been appointed to preside over the District Court for a one-year term beginning in July.

JOSEPH H. BUSHEY, BSC, Omaha, has been named an associate member of the Marchette Insurance Agency in Omaha.

Msgr. J. LAWRENCE BAUER, MS, Boone, Iowa, celebrated his Silver Jubilee in the priesthood in February.

JAMES E. DURR, BSC, Sugar Grove, Va., has accepted a position as comptroller at the Johnston Memorial Hospital in Abingdon, Va. Maj. FREDERICK W. WATKE, BS, Springfield, Va., suffered extensive injuries in a crash after his helicopter was shot down in Vietnam. He has since been stationed at the Pentagon.

R. MICHAEL BARRY, BSC, Fort Dodge, Iowa, has been promoted to assistant administrator of Mercy Hospital in Fort Dodge. He has been employed at the hospital for the past two years.

RETHA L. ALLEN Ketchum, BSN, Seneca, Mo., has been named a faculty member of the Missouri Southern College Department of Nursing.

KENNETH RUPP, MD, Streetsboro, Ohio, has been elected to the Streetsboro Board of Education.

DONALD SCHINZEL, BS, Omaha, has been named vice president and assistant treasurer of Commercial Savings and Loan in Omaha.

GREGORY J. AHART, BSBA, Vienna, Va., was named one of the top ten executives in the Federal Government. He is employed in the Government Accounting Office.

SALVATORE M. SANTELLA, MD, has been named the chief of the Department of General Practice at St. Vincent's Hospital in Bridgeport, Conn.

CHARLES W. SANDERS, BS, Fairfax, Va., has been appointed head of the Systems Development of the Mitre Corporation.

RALPH F. FOURNIER, Jr., BS, Little Silver, N.J., has started his own company, Fournier-Pytko Productions. He is working on a movie script, among other projects.

A. C. BATTLAGLIA, COM, Omaha, has been promoted to sales manager of the Omaha terminal of Holmes Freight Lines, Incorporated. He has been associated with the company for the past three years.

JERRY HOLMBERG, BSBA, Omaha, was named regional sales director for United of Omaha. He has been with the company since 1964.

Sister MARY RICHARD KORNFRIEND, BSPH, La Salle, Ill., has been appointed superior and administrator of St. Mary's Hospital in La Salle.

JAMES C. WEBSTER, ARTS, Washington, D. C., was appointed public relations director of the American Public Power Association and assistant editor of the Association's monthly magazine.

JAMES A. TAPHORN, BSBA, Omaha, has been named controller of American Beef Packers, Incorporated, of Oakland, Iowa.

J. MICHAEL SCHWENIN, BSN, San Francisco, Cal., has been chosen as a delegate to the American Nurses Convention in Miami Beach, Fla., in May.

Sister DANIEL FENKER, BSPH, Louisville, Ky., was elected treasurer of the Kentucky Society of Hospital Pharmacists.

Rev. CECIL FRIEDMAN, MS, Algona, Iowa, is one of the members of the Board of Trustees of St. Ann Hospital. He is also superintendent of Garrigan High School in Algona.

WILLIAM H. RILEY, JD, Grand Island, Neb., vice president and trust officer of the First National Bank of Grand Island, was elected to the bank's Board of Directors.

RANDOLPH M. FERLIC, MD, Omaha, has been appointed an associate professor of thoracic surgery at the University of Nebraska Medical Center in Omaha.

ROGER J. SULLIVAN, JD, San Jose, Cal., has been elected president
of the Santa Clara County Democratic Council. He is engaged in the private practice of law in San Jose.

Sister MARY JACOLYN, BSPH, has been promoted to the position of assistant administrator at St. Anne's Hospital in Chicago, Ill.

Capt. DANIEL R. SCHAER, BSBA, has been stationed at Tachikawa Air Base in Japan as a Headquarters Section Commander.

Lt. WILLIAM D. BAINES, ARTS, is now serving with the 3rd Battalion, 1st Marine Regiment, 1st Marine Division in Vietnam.

Maj. DENNIS O'CONNOR, BS, Springfield, Va., has presented the United States Army Commendation Medal. He was cited for meritorious service while serving in Vietnam.

Capt. JERALD C. WALL, AB, McCoy Air Force Base, Fla., has earned the United States Air Force Distinguished Flying Cross. His achievement as lead navigator for a flight of six B-52s on a bombing mission against North Vietnamese targets earned him the award.

ROBERT A. SUDICKS, BSBA, Omaha, has been promoted from assistant business development officer to business development officer with the Omaha National Bank.

JOHN E. KILBRIDE, MD, has become associated with two other pathologists in the practice of clinical and anatomic pathology in Sioux Falls, S. D.

THOMAS VANDER WOUDE, BS, Sioux Falls, S.D., has graduated as a second officer from United Air Lines Flight Training Center at Denver. He is serving as a crew member on DC-8 flights out of New York.

F. DONALD KAPPS, MD, Omaha, has been certified by the American Board of Pathology after completing examinations in Boston, Mass.

MARVIN D. DYVORAK, DDS, Omaha, has opened a new dental office. He has practiced in Omaha for three years.

Lt. PATRICK J. GROWNEY, ARTS, has received the Purple Heart for service in Vietnam. He is a platoon leader with the Army's 1st Squadron of the American Division's 1st Cavalry.

ROBERT J. SYLVESTER, BSBA, York, Neb., received his certified public accountant certificate from the Nebraska State Board of Public Accountancy.

KATHLEEN M. DEMPSEY, AB, is teaching French at New Trier East High School in Winnetka, Ill.

PAUL J. MILLER, BSPh, Houston, Tex., has been named recipient of the 1969 Abbott Award of the American Society of Hospital Pharmacists.

PAUL COMEAU, JD, Omaha, has been promoted to assistant general attorney of the Union Pacific Railroad Company.

PATRICK J. BRODERICK, AB, has accepted a position in the Personnel Office for the Civil Service Commission in Heidelberg, Germany.

JOHN H. McCULURE, Jr., AB, Fairfax, Va., has been appointed executive secretary of the Sales Council of American Trucking Associations.

JOSEPH M. GOECKE, MBA, Omaha, has been named director of management information systems at Valmont Industries, Incorporated.

RANDALL C. MOODY, AB, St. Paul, Minn., has accepted a position with the Educational Television Station in the Twin Cities.

JOHN C. NORRIS, MD, has been promoted to the rank of major in the United States Army. He is stationed at Fort McClellan, Ala.

ROBERT BLOCK, BSBA, Bloomington, Ill., has joined the State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company as an auditor trainee in the accounting department at the home office in Bloomington.

HARRY T. AMBROSE, MBA, Winnetka, Ill., has been appointed director of the Materials Purchasing Department of the Quaker Oats Company. He has been with the company since 1967.

ROBERT P. CARVER, MA, an assistant professor of history at St. Mary-of-the-Woods College near Terre Haute, Ind., has been selected for inclusion in the 1970 edition of Outstanding Educators of America.

Capt. RICHARD W. FORTUNE, AB, has been assigned to the United States Army's 4th Infantry Division in Vietnam as assistant adjutant.

Capt. JAMES C. O'DONNELL, AB, has been awarded the Bronze Star for outstanding service with the headquarters company, 23rd Supply and Transportation Battalion of the American Division near Chu Lai in Vietnam. He is now stationed at Fort Hood, Tex.

DEAN DOSS, BSBA, has been appointed sales manager for Wayne Doss Implement Co. in Salem, S.D.

KATHRYN A. THOMAS, AB, Chicago, Ill., has been chosen by fellow graduate students to represent them at meetings of the Classical Studies Department at Loyola University in Chicago. She is working toward a doctorate at Loyola.

Sister VIRGINIA STOECKLEIN, BSN, has been named director of nursing service at St. Francis Hospital in Washington, Mo.

Pfc. JAMES K. WILLIAMS, AB, has completed a 36-week Vietnamese language course at the Defense Language Institute Support Command at Fort Bliss, Tex.

Capt. SUE ANN DASOVIC, BSN, is stationed with the United States Army Nurse Corps at Letterman General Hospital in San Francisco, Cal.

WILLIAM P. BRUST, BS, is working as a dental specialist in an Armed Forces Clinic in Karlshoe, Germany.

Lt. STEVEN C. CROSS, JD, has been stationed at the Da Nang Law Center in Vietnam.

PATRICK J. MORROW, III, JD, has become associated with the Trust Department of the First National Bank of Minneapolis, Minn.

ROBERT J. BECKER, JD, Omaha, has joined the staff of United States District Attorney Richard Dier.
THOMAS M. KENNEY, JD, Grand Island, Neb., has been appointed an assistant to Public Defender A. Q. Wolf of Omaha.

JACK DIXON, MBA, and JERRY FURLONG, MBA, both of Omaha, were honored at the Education and Training Recognition Luncheon for Mutual of Omaha.

Pit. DONALD C. VOKAL, AB, has been assigned to serve with the United States Army in Vietnam.

DANIEL K. JACKSON, JD, Westland, Mich., was appointed Assistant Real Estate Manager of King Sooper’s grocery store chain. The chain extends throughout Colorado.

Lt. THOMAS L. NEPP, AB, has been assigned to Steward Air Force Base, N.Y., as a Communications Center Officer with the United States Army Air Defense Command.

Airman WILLIAM J. STEINAUER, Jr., AB, was graduated from the United States Air Force personnel specialist course at Keesler Air Force Base, Miss. He is now stationed at Offutt Air Force Base near Omaha.

J. EDISON WOODS, Jr., JD, Madison, Wis., has become associated with the law firm of McManus and Haukom.

MICHAEL MUHLE, BS, is a recipient of the 1968 Freedoms Foundation at Valley Forge Award. He attends graduate school at Iowa State University.

Marriages

'54
'58
Winifred Mary Adams and DONALD FRANCIS DRESP, ARTS, Jan. 24, living in Tempe, Ariz.
'63
JUDYKAY RAYMER, MA, and Lewis John Hartzell, Feb. 7, living in Germantown, Md.
'64
JUDITH KOSTKA, BUS, and Daniel Batenhorst, living in Omaha.
'65
PATRICIA JANE BRAZDA, BSN, and Thomas Anthony Lynch, Jr., Dec. 6, living in Mountain View, Calif. Patricia Wachtler and JAMES F. KALAMAJA, AB, Feb. 20, living in Omaha.

'66

'67
Michaele Lynn Callahan and DR. CHARLES E. KEENAN, Jr., ARTS, Dec. 6.
Marlene Marie Szymanski and PHILIP F. DAUBEL, BS, living in Akron, Ohio.
Jean Marie Striley and VINCENT PAUL SUTERA, BSBA, living in Omaha.
BARBARA MAE HUNGER, AB, and George Patrick Trotzak, Jan. 31, living in Dallas, Tex.
Christine Ann Mori and THOMAS PATRICK DOUD, BSBA, Feb. 7, living in Des Moines, Iowa.
Alice Virginia Henry and THOMAS JOSEPH DIBIASE, ARTS, living in Omaha.

'68
BERNADETTE MARIE WHITEHEAD, BS, and William Dale Baker, living in Des Moines, Iowa.
MICHLE ANN MORRISON, BSN, and PAUL THOMAS MICHAEL, AB, living in Omaha.
Mary Alice Ladd and ALBERT E. NICK, Jr., BSBA, Jan. 17, living in Germany.
JEAN CLAIRE MAGINN, BS, and JOHN ROGER ULRICH, BSBA, Feb. 28, living in Omaha.
KATHLEEN LOUISE TINLEY, AB, and Kenneth W. Payne, living in Omaha.

'69
Mary Ann SchueSSLER and DENNIS BRIAN BONGERS, BSBA, living in Lincoln, Neb.
ELLEN M. LANGAN, AB, and STEVEN J. SCHWEERS, AB, living in Omaha.
Roseanne Margaret Willy and THOMAS EARL ROCKDALE, MD, Jan. 17, living in Torrance, Calif.
MAUREEN ANN TOLMAN, AB, and ARTHUR DANIEL FLANNERY, BSBA, '67, Dec. 27, living in Omaha.
Connie Lynn Daniels and THOMAS J. GRECO, BSBA, Feb. 14, living in Omaha.
DEANNA LYNNE KERN, AB, and GARY ALLEN LUDWIN, BS '68, Dec. 27, living in Omaha.
LENNI KATHLEEN SYKORA, AB, and ROBERT G. GRIEGO, DDS, Dec. 27, living in Phoenix, Ariz.
Carolyn Marie Hoody and L. RUSSELL MISNER, ARTS, living in Omaha.

Births

'53
JAMES P. FITZGERALD, MD, and Mrs. Fitzgerald, Whittier, Calif., a daughter, Amy Terese, July 3.
RICHARD L. DEAN, BS, and Mrs. Dean, Leonia, N.J., a son, David Arthur, Feb. 23.
F. A. PILEGGI, MD, and THERESA CLAIRE SANDHOEFNER Pileggi, SJN '51, Kansas City, Mo., a son, Andrew James, Jan. 12.
GERALD P. GRAY, BSBA, and Mrs. Gray, Broomfield, Colo., an adopted son, Patrick James.
JERALD A. WILLS, BSBA, and Mrs. Wills, Omaha, a daughter, Kathleen Michele, Sept. 13.
PHILIP C. O’GARA, BSBA, and Mrs. O’Gara, Omaha, a daughter, Theresa Diane.
HERMAN BLANKENAU, DDS, and Mrs. Blankenau, Bloomfield, Neb., a son, Eric Joseph, July 18.
ROBERT C. GUINAN, JD, and MARY JO BRAZIL Guinan, AB, Omaha, a son, Michael Brazil, Jan. 8.
Capt. DANIEL R. SCHAFFER, BSBA, and Mrs. Schafer, Tachikawa Air Force Base, Japan, a son, Dean Robert, Dec. 30.
DAVID J. KOLENDA, JD, and JOANNE L. SCHINDLER Kolenda, AB '64, Omaha, a daughter, Laura Lynn, Sept. 9.
LEO C. LOGSDON, Jr., DDS, and Mrs. Logsdon, Pacific Palisades, Calif., a daughter, Kristin Ann, Dec. 27.
Raymond T. Gress and CAROLYN PLUMER Gress, ARTS, Nebraska City, Neb., a daughter, Diane Marie, Jan. 3.
Stephen H. Joern and JOAN WEAVER Joern, BS, Cincinnati, Ohio, a daughter, Joanna Marie, Jan. 11.
Ronald Klocke and LINDA K. TIMMERMAN Klocke, AB, Mankato, Minn., twin sons, David Anthony and Christopher Alan, June 25.
Capt. WILLIAM BATES, AB, and Mrs. Bates, Forestville, Md., a son, William Brian, and a daughter, Angela Joan, Feb. 18.
Deaths

1988
ROBERT R. SEASONGOOD, MD, Wakefield, Neb.
EDWARD J. HOOPMAN, DDS, Portland, Ore., Feb. 25.

1989
DONALD J. BURKE, JD, Omaha, father of KATHERINE BURKE Carroll, COM '42, Omaha.
GEORGE LENOX, PHARM, Woodburn, Ore., Mar. 2.

BERYLE LAPIORTE, wife of ROMEO LAPIORTE, AB, Omaha, and mother of Col. DONALD J. LAPIORTE.

1990
JOSEPH D. ROSHONE, ARTS, Omaha, Feb. 19.
FRED S. WOLFE, JD, Omaha.
CHARLES E. NOONAN, MD, Rochester, N.Y.
HELEN E. ETNER, SJIN, San Jose, Cal.
FRANKLIN S. KILIBARDA, ARTS, Omaha, father of FRANKLIN S. KILIBARDA, Jr., COM '58, Omaha; ADDISON P. KILIBARDA, ARTS '59, Boone, Iowa; and GRETCHEN A. KILIBARDA Olsen, BS '61, Omaha.
MARIORIE ELLEN JOYCE Jantho, BSN, Madison, Wis., sister of KENNETH JOYE, MD, Auburn, Cal.
JOHN LOUIS CRILLY, ARTS, Bethel, Kan.
JOHN M. DALY, ARTS, Lake Forest, III., father of RICHARD P. DALY, AB '67, Denver, Colo.
MARY ALYCE WEBB, LAW, wife of WALTER R. LOUIS, ARTS '41, Omaha.
MARY ANN KREMEIER Havek, SJIN, wife of WESLEY E. HAYEK, MD '51, St. Louis, Mo.
ARTHUR M. TILLINGHAST, JD, Lincoln, Neb.
SISTER MARY URSULA PETERSON, MS, Concordia, Kan., Feb. 28.
SISTER MARY THERESA WIRTH, MS, Atchison, Kan.
STEPHEN J. Kindler, son of CLINTON KINDLER, DDS, Omaha.
WILLIAM S. ARMSTRONG, MD, Marion, Iowa.
RUTH JOAN SEREIKA, BSPH, Geneva, Ill.
Lt. WILLIAM P. HIGGINS, ARTS, Algona, Iowa.
FRANCIS BERT FRUZZA, ARTS, Las Vegas, Nev.
Lt. THOMAS L. BUDDI, BSBA, husband of MARY KAY HOBLEK Buddi, ARTS, Omaha.
The Calendar

Omaha Spring Alumni Party, Elks Club

Kansas City Creighton Club Party

St. Joseph, Mo., Creighton Club Party

Dallas, Texas, Creighton Club Party

St. Louis, Mo., Creighton Club Party

Athletic Banquet, Brandeis Student Center

Journalism Alumni Reunion, Omaha

Alumni Council Meeting, On Campus

Spring Dental Assembly, On Campus

Hawaii Creighton Club Party

Parents Weekend, On Campus

Honors Banquet, Brandeis Student Center

Des Moines Creighton Club Party

Commerce-Bus. Ad. Luncheon

Alumni Council Meeting, On Campus

Senior-Alumni Banquet

Commencement, Omaha Civic Auditorium Arena

Alumni Picnic

April 10

April 11

April 12

April 13

April 14

April 18

April 18

April 21

April 24-25

April 27

May 2-3

May 2

May 4

May 13

May 19

May 29

May 30

June 25